



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/253,573	02/19/1999	HAI XING CHEN	99.001	5784

YI LI
LI & ALTER
11820 SW 107 AVENUE
MIAMI, FL 33176

7590

02/13/2003

EXAMINER

SCHNIZER, RICHARD A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1635

22

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/253,573

Applicant(s)
Chen

Examiner
Richard Schnizer

Art Unit
1635



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Schnizer

(3) Mitchell Alter

(2) Brian Stanton

(4) Yi Li

Date of Interview Feb 4, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Issues pertaining to enablement were discussed including, the existence of a well established use other than gene therapy, the enabled scopes of target cells and promoters, and the expectation of success of a use other than gene therapy. The relative benefits of filing an appeal brief, an RCE, or a CIP were briefly discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required